



Measures of disease effect and impact

Lecture No. 15

Objectives:

- 1. To calculate and interpret: Risk ratio and Odds ratio
- 2. To calculate and understand: Risk difference and attributable risk
- ~ This lecture was presented by **Dr. Afnan** Younis
- ~ It is included in the Midterm Exam

~ We highly recommended reading the **Ayah** in the first page

<u>Slides</u>

Color code

Original text Dr. Notes Important Golden note **ff** Extra

Editing file

Measures of association (effect)

Data from a fixed cohort study of oral contraceptive pills (OCP) use and myocardial infarction (MI) in pre-menopausal women followed for 5 years (adapted from Rosenberg et al, AJE 1980).

Relative risk and Odds ratio

Overall rate of disease in an exposed group says nothing about whether exposure is a risk factor for or causes a disease.

- This can only be evaluated by comparing disease occurrence in an exposed group to another group that is usually not exposed.
- The later group is usually called the comparison or reference group. we use association term more than effect. We use association in exams.

	yes	no	total
Exposed	a	b	a+b
non exposed	С	d	c+d
total	a+c	b+d	a+b+c+d

Example

	MI	no	total
OCP	23	304	327
Without OCP	133	2816	2949
total	156	3120	3276



Risk/ incidence
= a/(a+b) among exposed
= c/(c+d) among unexposed
= (a+c)/(a+b+c+d) among total population

Risk (incidence) of myocardial infarction = 23/327 = 7.0% among OC users

= 133/2949 = 4.5% among non-OC users.

Relative risk (RR)

	yes	no	total
yes	a	b	a+b
no	с	d	c+d
total	a+c	b+c	a+b+c+d

	yes	no	total
yes	23	304	307
no	133	2816	2949
total	156	3120	3276

Interpretation:

Relative risk

$$= \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{E}} / \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{U}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{E}} / \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{U}}$$

= [a/(a+b)] / [c/(c+d)]

-Based on ratio of 2 measures of frequency

-Dimensionless and ranges 0 - infinity

Relative Risk

= Incidence of disease among exposed/Incidence of disease among non-exposed

Incidence among exposed = a/(a+b)

Incidence among non-exposed = c/(c+d)

RR example of MI among Oral Contraceptives users compared to non OC users:

RR = Re/Ru = [a/(a+b)]/[c/(c+d)] = (23/327)/(133/2949) = 1.6

(RR = 1.6)

Women who used OCP had 1.6 times the risk of having MI compared to non-OCP users (1.6-fold increased risk).

There is a 60% increase risk of MI among OCP users compared to non-users (60% more likely to have MI).

RR=1	Risk in exposed = risk in non-exposed No association
RR>1	Risk in exposed > risk in non-exposed Positive association, factor is associated with disease Larger $RR \rightarrow$ stronger association
RR<1	Risk in exposed < risk in non-exposed Negative association, factor is "protective"

Interpretations of Relative Risk:

- Gives information on the relative effect of the exposure on the disease.
- Tells how many times higher or lower the disease risk is among the exposed as compared to the unexposed.
- Therefore, commonly used in etiologic research as a measure of risk.

Important

In some study designs

- Participants are selected on the basis of their disease (outcome) status.
- No follow up.
- Cannot estimate incidence
- Calculate the odds ratio (OR)

-ratio of odds of exposure among cases to odds of exposure among controls

	yes	no	total
yes	a	b	a+b
no	C	d	c+d
total	a+c	b+c	a+b+c+d

Shampoo brand	yes	no	total
Exposed	15	14	29
non Exposed	9	45	54
total	24	59	83

OR = (a/c)/(b/d) = ad/bc

Odds of exposure: = a/c among cases = b/d among controls OR = (a/c)/(b/d) = ad/bc OR = (15*45)/(14*9) = 5.4 Interpretation (OR= 5.4)

The odds of using Rely shampoo among patients with scalp folliculitis were 5.4 times higher than the odds of using Rely shampoo among those without folliculitis (technical)

Patients who used Rely shampoo were 5.4 times more likely to develop scalp folliculitis than patients who used other brands (loosely).

	OR<1	OR=1	OR>1
Odds comparison between case and control	Odds of exposure for cases are less than the odds of exposure for control	Odds of exposure are equal among cases and control	Odds of exposure for cases are greater than the odds of exposure for control
Exposure and likelihood of outcome	I listcome is less likely to be	The likelihood of outcome doesn't change with exposure	Outcome is more likely to be found with exposure

Odds Ratio Approximates Relative Risk

When disease is Rare

Proportion of cases in exposed and unexposed groups is low

a < b, so $a+b \approx b$ and c < d, so $c+d \approx d$

 $RR = a/(a+b) / c/(c+d) \approx a/b / c/d = ad/bc$

Not important it will not be include in exam (for your information)

	Cases	Control	total
yes	10	999,990	1,000,000
no	5	999,995	1,000,000

Risk difference

Difference (Absolute) Comparisons

Based on difference between 2 measures of frequency

Gives information on:

- the **absolute effect of exposure** on disease occurrence
- the excess disease risk, or **disease burden**, in the exposed group compared to the unexposed group
- the **public health impact of an exposure,** that is, how much disease would be prevented if the exposure were removed

Note: this assumes that the exposure causes the disease

Risk difference (RD) = R exposed – R unexposed = $R_{exp} - R_{unexp}$

Also called attributable risk, or rate difference.

Note: "attributable" implies causality

RD = 0 when there is no association between exposure and disease

What we can hope to accomplish in reducing risk of disease among exposed if exposure were eliminated

	CHD	no	total	Per 1000
yes	84	2,916	3,000	28
no	87	4,913	5,000	17.4

Risk difference = incidence among smokers – incidence among nonsmokers

= 28 - 17.4 = 10.6 / 1,000

Interpretation:

Risk difference = 10.6 / 1,000

Broad: 10.6 cases of the 28/1,000 CHD in smokers are attributable to smoking.

Narrow: Eliminating this exposure (smoking) would prevent 10.6 cases of the 28/1,000 cases of CHD in smokers.

Attributable proportions

Attributable Proportion among Exposed:

Describes the proportion of disease among exposed that is due (attributable) to exposure or that would be prevented if exposure were eliminated

$$AP_{e} = [(R_{e} - R_{u})/R_{e}] \times 100$$

= RD / R_e x 100
$$R_{e} = Re = "risk" (IR, CI, P) \text{ among exposed}$$
$$R_{u} = Ru = "risk" (IR, CI, P) \text{ among unexposed}$$

Also called etiologic fraction, attributable risk percent, attributable risk among exposed

Attributable proportions

Alternative formula

 $APe = [(Re - Ru)/Re] \times 100$ Divide numerator and denominator by Ru $APe = [(RR-1)/RR] \times 100$

Exar	mple	Breast cancer cases	РҮ	Rates/10,000 PY
	Radiation exposure	41	28,010	14.6
	no radiation exposure	15	19,017	7.9
	total	56	47,027	11.9

$$APe = [(14.6-7.9)/14.6] \times 100 = 46\%$$

In other words

- Relative risk is a measure of strength of association between exposure and disease and is useful in analytical studies
 - Risk
- **Relative difference** is a measure of how much disease incidence is **attributable** to exposure, and is useful in assessing exposures' public health importance
 - Burden

In MCQ, it will give you a scenario then what is the appropriate **study design** then what **measure of association** can be used to calculate from the study design?

Interpretation: APe = 46%

46% of cases of breast cancer among those exposed to radiation may be attributed to radiation exposure and could be eliminated if exposure were removed.

Study design	Measure of association	
Cross-sectional	OR	
Case control	OR	
Cohort	RR	
Experimental	RR	

Exercises

In the year 2000, City A had a population of 183,000. There were 264 existing cases of colon cancer, 40 of which were diagnosed in 2000. During the same year, 20 deaths were attributed to colon cancer.

- 1) Calculate the prevalence of colon cancer.
- 2) Calculate the incidence of colon cancer in the year 2000.

1- Calculate the prevalence of colon cancer.
Prevalence = no. of cases / population at risk x 1,000
= 264 / 183,000 x 1,000 = 1.4 per 1,000
2- Calculate the incidence of colon cancer in the year 2000.
Incidence = No. of new cases / Population at risk x 1,000
*Population at risk= 183,000 - 264 = 182,736
Incidence = 40 / 182,736 x 1,000 = 0.22 per 1,000

In an outbreak of tuberculosis among prison inmates in South Carolina in 1999, 28 of 157 inmates residing on the East wing of the dormitory developed tuberculosis, compared with 4 of 137 inmates residing on the West wing.

- 1) Draw 2 X 2 table
- 2) Calculate the relative risk

Wings	TB +ve	TB -ve	total
East	28	129	157
West	4	133	137
total	32	262	294

Risk of tuberculosis among East wing residents = 28/157 = 0.178 = 17.8%Risk of tuberculosis among West wing residents = 4/137 = 0.029 = 2.9%RR = 17.8/2.9 = 6.1

عبدالله التركي عبدالله المياح محمد الزير عبدالله النجرس تركى العتيبى عثمان الدريهم عبدالعزيز القحطاني عبدالله القرني ناصر الغيث عامر الغامدي سعد السهلي سعد الاحمري رائد الماضي معاذ آل صلام محمد الحصينى سعود الشعلان

MCQ:

Q1: Which measure of association is dimensionless and ranges from 0 to infinity?

- A. Risk difference
- B. Odds ratio
- C. Relative risk
- D. Prevalence

Q2: When is the odds ratio (OR) commonly used?

- A. When participants are selected based on their disease status
- B. When calculating the risk difference
- C. When evaluating the prevalence of a disease
- D. When estimating the incidence rate

MCQ:

Q3: What does a relative risk (RR) of 1 indicate?

- A. No association between exposure and disease
- B. Positive association, the factor is associated with the disease
- C. Negative association, the factor is "protective"
- D. Stronger association between exposure and disease

Q4: What does the risk difference (RD) measure?

- A. The absolute effect of exposure on disease occurrence
- B. The strength of association between exposure and disease
- C. The public health impact of an exposure
- D. The incidence rate of a disease