# Confounding \& effect modification 

Tutorial No. 5

Objectives:
~ This lecture was presented by Dr. Nour Horanieh
$\sim$ It is included in the Midterm Exam
$\sim$ We highly recommended reading the Ayah in the first page
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## Equations

## Relative Risk (RR)

Contingency (or 2 X 2) Table

|  | Cases | Controls | Total | 88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed | a | b | $a+b$ |  <br>  |
| Unexposed | c | d | c+d | وإن لكم - أيها الناس - في الإبل والبقر والغنم لعظة تتعظون بها، حيث نسقيكم من ضروءعها لبنًا خارجُا من |
| Total | $a+c$ | $b+d$ | $a+b+c+d$ |  |

$$
\mathbf{R R}=\mathbf{I E} / \mathbf{I U}=[\mathbf{a} /(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})] /[\mathrm{c} /(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})]
$$

## Incidence rate

Incidence among exposed $=\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$
Incidence among non-exposed $=\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}}$

## Estimation of risk

Relative Risk:
$\mathbf{R R}=\frac{\text { Incidence of disease among exposed }}{\text { Incidence of disease among non-exposed }}$

$$
=\frac{\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{c} / \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}}
$$

## Relative risk and Confounding (Tutorial)

## Odds Ratio (OR)

## Contingency (or $2 \times 2$ ) Table

|  | Cases | Controls | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exposed | a | b | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ |
| Unexposed | c | d | $\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ |

$$
\mathrm{OR}=\frac{\text { Odds that case was exposed }(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C})}{\text { Odds that a control was exposed (B/D)}}=\frac{\mathrm{AD}}{\mathrm{BC}}
$$



احفظو ها على كلمة ادبس

## Confounding

Confounding is a situation in which a measure of the effect of an exposure is distorted because of the association of exposure with other factor or factors that influence the outcome of interest.


## Example

|  | CHD (Yes) | CHD (No) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bald | 775 | 9,225 | 10,000 |
| Hairy | 190 | 9,810 | 10,000 |
|  | 965 | 19,035 | 20,000 |

$R R=(775 / 10,000) /(190 / 10,000)=4.08$ So the risk of CHD in bald men is 4.08 times more than in hairy men.

CHD is Coronary heart diseases

## Is it real association or due to confounder?

It is due to a confounder. (Bald men are older, we have to consider the age)

Stratify data and study the effect of age between old and young subjects:

| Older subjects (aged greater than 65 years) |  |  |  | RR in the older men: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHD (Yes) | CHD (No) |  | $\begin{gathered} (750 / 7,500) /(100 / 1,000)=1 \\ R R=1 \text { (No risk and no } \\ \text { association) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Bald | 750 | 6750 | 7500 |  |
| Hairy | 100 | 900 | 1000 |  |
|  | 850 | 7650 | 8500 |  |
| Younger subjects (aged between 40 and 64 years) |  |  |  | RR in the younger men: |
|  | CHD (Yes) | CHD (No) |  | $\begin{gathered} (25 / 2,500) /(90 / 9,000)=1 \\ R R=1 \text { (No risk and no } \\ \text { association) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Bald | 25 | 2475 | 2500 |  |
| Hairy | 90 | 8910 | 9000 |  |
|  | 115 | 11385 | 11500 |  |


| $\mathbf{R R}$before stratification (It is the crude which means: <br> Before we see who is old and who is young) |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{R R}$ among older subjects (Stratified) |
| $\mathbf{R R}$ among younger subjects (Stratified) |
| Thus age is a confounder in this study. |

## Exercise 1

This study was carried out in 9400 patients among people aged 60 and above. Records of patients with and without bed sores were examined for outcome.

Calculate the risk and determine whether medical severity (high \& low) is a confounder?

|  | Died (Yes) | Died (No) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bed sores (Yes) | 79 | 745 | 824 |
| Bed sores (No) | 286 | 8290 | 8576 |
|  | 365 | 9035 | 9400 |

## Answer:

$\mathrm{RR}=\mathrm{a} /(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) / \mathrm{c} /(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})=(79 / 824) / 286 / 8576=2.9$ (Crude relative risk)
Thus the probability of death was 2.9 times high in people with bedsores

## Risk of bed sores and death in high medical severity group

|  | Died (Yes) | Died (No) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bed sores (Yes) | 55 | 51 | 106 |
| Bed sores (No) | 5 | 5 | 10 |
|  | 60 | 56 | 116 |

## Answer:

$\mathrm{RR}=$ Relative risk $=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{C} /(\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D})$
$=(55 / 106) /(5 / 10)=\mathbf{1 . 0 4}(R R$ in high medical severity $)$

## Exercise 1, cont.

## Bedsores and death in low medical severity group

|  | Died (Yes) | Died (No) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bed sores (Yes) | 24 | 694 | 718 |
| Bed sores (No) | 281 | 8285 | 8566 |
|  |  | 305 | 8979 |
| Answer. |  |  | 9284 |

## Answer:

$\mathrm{RR}=$ Relative risk $=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{C} /(\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D})$
$=(24 / 718) /(281 / 8566)=\mathbf{1 . 0 2}(R R$ in low medical severity $)$

| RR before stratification (Crude) | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| RR among high medical severity (Stratified) | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4}$ almost 1 |
| RR among low medical severity (Stratified) | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ almost 1 |

Hence we conclude that (medical severity) is a confounding variable.

These two (stratified values) are almost 1 which means that there are equal and are different from the crude (2.9).
So this factor is a confounder.
The stratified values are almost equal and are different from the crude so there is a confounder.

Example:
Crude is 6 (Before the stratification)
Stratified is 3
Stratified is 3
So the stratified values are equal and are different from the crude, then it is a confounder.

Another example:
Crude is 2
Stratified is 2
Stratified is 2
All of them are equal so it is NOT a confounder

## Exercise 2

In a case control study discussing diabetes, CHD and age.
Draw the diagram showing causal association between the variables. With the given data, determine, whether age $<40 \& \geq 40$ is a confounder ?


Age can be a confounder

| Diabetes Exposure | CHD (Yes) Outcome | CHID (No) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 30 | 18 |
| No | 70 | 82 |
|  | 100 | 100 |

## Answer:

$\mathrm{OR}=30^{*} 82 / 70 * 18=\mathbf{1 . 9 5}$ (This is the crude odds ratio)
People with diabetes have 1.95 times higher risk of CHD than people without diabetes.

| Age | Exposed | Cases <br> Yes | Cases <br> (No) | OR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<40$ | Yes | 5 | 8 | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| No | 45 | 72 | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |  |
| $\geq 40$ | Yes | 25 | 10 | 10 |


| OR before stratification | 1.95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| OR among older subjects | 1 |
| OR among younger subjects | 1 |

Thus, age is a confounder in this study.

Those are equal and are different from the crude (1.95). Then it is a confounder
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