## Statistical significance using *p*-value

Dr.Shaikh Shaffi Ahamed, PhD Associate Professor Department of Family & Community Medicine College of Medicine, KSU

### Objectives of this session:

(1)Able to understand the concepts of statistical inference and statistical significance.

(2)Able to apply the concept of statistical significance(p-value) in analyzing the data.

(3)Able to interpret the concept of statistical significance(p-value) in making valid conclusions.

### Why use inferential statistics at all?

Average height of <u>all</u> 25-year-old men (population) in KSA is a <u>PARAMETER.</u>

The height of the members of a sample of 100 such men are measured; the average of those 100 numbers is a <u>STATISTIC</u>.

Using inferential statistics, we make inferences about population (taken to be unobservable) based on a random sample taken from the population of interest.

# Is risk factor X associated with disease Y?



From the sample, we compute an estimate of the effect of X on Y (e.g., risk ratio if cohort study):

- Is the effect real? Did chance play a role?



Sampling variability...

- you only get to pick one sample!



Make inferences from data collected using laws of probability and statistics

- tests of significance (p-value)
- confidence intervals

### Significance testing

 The interest is generally in comparing two groups (e.g., risk of outcome in the treatment and placebo group)

The statistical test depends on the type of data and the study design

### Hypothesis Testing

- Null Hypothesis
- There is no association between the predictor and outcome variables in the population
- Assuming there is no association, statistical tests estimate the probability that the association is due to chance
- Alternate Hypothesis
- The proposition that there is an association between the predictor and outcome variable
- We do not test this directly but accept it by default if the statistical test rejects the null hypothesis

The Null and Alternative Hypothesis

- States the assumption (numerical) to be tested
- Begin with the assumption that the null hypothesis is TRUE
- Always contains the '=' sign
  - The null hypothesis, H0

The alternative hypothesis, Ha

- Is the opposite of the null hypothesis
- Challenges the status quo
- Never contains just the '=' sign
- Is generally the hypothesis that is believed to be true by the researcher

### One and Two Sided Tests

- Hypothesis tests can be one or two sided (tailed)
- One tailed tests are directional:
- H0: μ1- μ2= 0
- HA: μ1- μ2 > 0 or HA: μ1- μ2< 0
  - Two tailed tests are not directional: H0:  $\mu$ 1-  $\mu$ 2= 0 HA:  $\mu$ 1-  $\mu$ 2≠ 0





### **Type-I and Type-II Errors**

- $\alpha$  = Probability of rejecting H<sub>0</sub> when H<sub>0</sub> is true
- \*  $\alpha$  is called significance level of the test
- $\beta$  = Probability of not rejecting H<sub>0</sub> when H<sub>0</sub> is false
- \*  $1-\beta$  is called statistical power of the test

### **Diagnosis and statistical reasoning**

Disease status Present Absent		<u>Sig</u>	<mark>nificance D</mark> i Present	i <mark>fference is</mark> Absent	
				(Ho <i>not</i> true)	(Ho is true)
<b>Test</b> +ve	r <b>esult</b> True +ve (sensitivity)	False +ve	Test result Reject Ho Accept Ho	No error 1-β Type II err.	Type I err. α No error
-ve	False –ve	True -ve (Specificity)		β	1-α
			$\alpha$ : signific 1- $\beta$ : powe	ance lev er	el

### **Reference article:**

Yusuf S, Collins R, MacMahon S, Peto R: Effect of Intravenous nitrates on mortality in acute myocardial infarction: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 1988,1:1088-1092.



- Suppose we do a clinical trial to answer the above question
- Even if IV nitrate has no effect on mortality, due to sampling variation, it is very unlikely that  $P_{\rm N} = P_{\rm C}$
- Any observed difference b/w groups may be due to treatment or a coincidence (or chance)

### Null Hypothesis(H<sub>o</sub>)

 There is no association between the independent and dependent/outcome variables

Formal basis for hypothesis testing

In the example, H<sub>o</sub> :"The administration of IV nitrate has no effect on mortality in MI patients" or  $P_N - P_C = 0$ 

### Obtaining P values

	Number dead / ran	domized			
Trial	Intravenous	Control	Risk Ratio	95% C.I.	P value
	nitrate	I	How do we get this <i>p</i> -value		
Chiche	3/50	8/45	0.33	(0.09,1.13)	0.08
Bussman	4/31	12/29	0.24	(0.08,0.74)	0.01
Flaherty	11/56	11/48	0.83	(0.33,2.12)	0.70
Jaffe	4/57	2/57	2.04	(0.39,10.71)	0.40
Lis	5/64	10/76	0.56	(0.19,1.65)	0.29
Jugdutt	24/154	44/156	0.48	(0.28, 0.82)	0.007

 Table adapted from Whitley and Ball. Critical Care; 6(3):222-225, 2002
 17

Example of significance testing

In the Chiche trial:

 $p_N = 3/50 = 0.06; p_C = 8/45 = 0.178$ 

Null hypothesis:

•  $H_0: p_N - p_C = 0 \text{ or } p_N = p_C$ 

Statistical test:

Two-sample proportion

#### **Test statistic for Two Population Proportions**

The test statistic for  $p_1 - p_2$  is a Z statistic:



### Testing significance at 0.05 level



### **Two Population Proportions**

(continued)

$$Z = \frac{(0.06 - 0.178)}{\sqrt{0.116(1 - .116)\left(\frac{1}{50} + \frac{1}{45}\right)}} = -1.79$$

where

$$\overline{p} = \frac{3+8}{45+50} = 0.116$$
,  $p_N = \frac{3}{45} = 0.06$ ,  $p_C = \frac{8}{50} = 0.178$ 

### Statistical test for $p_1 - p_2$

Two Population Proportions, Independent Samples

$$Z = \frac{(0.06 - 0.178)}{\sqrt{0.116(1 - .116)\left(\frac{1}{50} + \frac{1}{45}\right)}} = -1.79$$

Two-tail test:  

$$H_0: p_N - p_C = 0$$
  
 $H_1: p_N - p_C \neq 0$ 

$$a_{/2} = 1.96$$

$$Reject H_0 \text{ if } Z < -Z_{a/2}$$

Since -1.79 is > than -1.96, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. But what is the actual *p*-value? P(Z < -1.79) + P(Z > 1.79) = ? 🔁 ztable.pdf - Adobe Reader

?

File Edit View Document Tools Window Help

1

/ 1

- 7 🛛

^

#### Table 1: Table of the Standard Normal Cumulative Distribution Function $\Phi(z)$

Find

-

<del>~ •</del>

200% 🔻

		Z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
		-2.4	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002
							004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0003
							006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005
							008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0007	0.0007
							012	0.0011	0.0011	0.0011	0.0010	0.0010
							016	0.0016	0.0015	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014
	0.04			0.04	4		023	0.0022	0.0021	0.0021	0.0020	0.0019
	0.04			0.0-	т		031	0.0030	0.0029	0.0028	0.0027	0.0026
							041	0.0040	0.0039	0.0038	0.0037	0.0036
					_		055	0.0054	0.0052	0.0051	0.0049	0.0048
					<u>_</u>		073	0.0071	0.0069	0.0068	0.0066	0.0064
	-1.7	79		+1.79			096	0.0094	0.0091	0.0089	0.0087	0.0084
							125	0.0122	0.0119	0.0116	0.0113	0.0110
	P (7<-1 70	$(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{p}$	(7>1')	79) = 0	08		162	0.0158	0.0154	0.0150	0.0146	0.0143
	I (Z · 1./.	/) · I	(2, 1.	()) 0.	00		207	0.0202	0.0197	0.0192	0.0188	0.0183
			1				262	0.0256	0.0250	0.0244	0.0239	0.0233
		-1.8	0.0359	0.0351	0.0344	0.0336	0.0329	0.0322	0.0314	0.0307	0.0301	0.0294
		-1.7	0.0446	0.0436	0.0427	0.0418	0.0409	0.0401	0.0392	0.0384	0.0375	0.0367
		-1.6	0.0548	0.0537	0.0526	0.0516	0.0505	0.0495	0.0485	0.0475	0.0465	0.0455
		-1.5	0.0668	0.0655	0.0643	0.0630	0.0618	0.0606	0.0594	0.0582	0.0571	0.0559
		-1.4	0.0808	0.0793	0.0778	0.0764	0.0749	0.0735	0.0721	0.0708	0.0694	0.0681
		-1.3	0.0968	0.0951	0.0934	0.0918	0.0901	0.0885	0.0869	0.0853	0.0838	0.0823
		-1.2	0.1151	0.1131	0.1112	0.1093	0.1075	0.1056	0.1038	0.1020	0.1003	0.0985
		-1.1	0.1357	0.1335	0.1314	0.1292	0.1271	0.1251	0.1230	0.1210	0.1190	0.1170
		-1.0	0.1587	0.1562	0.1539	0.1515	0.1492	0.1469	0.1446	0.1423	0.1401	0.1379
		-0.9	0.1841	0.1814	0.1788	0.1762	0.1736	0.1711	0.1685	0.1660	0.1635	0.1611
		-0.8	0.2119	0.2090	0.2061	0.2033	0.2005	0.1977	0.1949	0.1922	0.1894	0.1867
		-0.7	0.2420	0.2389	0.2358	0.2327	0.2296	0.2266	0.2236	0.2206	0.2177	0.2148
		-0.6	0.2743	0.2709	0.2676	0.2643	0.2611	0.2578	0.2546	0.2514	0.2483	0.2451
		-0.5	0.3085	0.3050	0.3015	0.2981	0.2946	0.2912	0.2877	0.2843	0.2810	0.2776
8.26 x 1	11.69 in <	1	r		les.							
irt	RPopat_LaneLibrar	🛄 RPopat	LaneLibrar	🛞 Eudora - [In]	📄 attach		presentations	📜 ztabl	e.pdf - Adobe	😗 🚆 Type to s	search	

🌀 🗊 📃 7:09 AM

🛃 start

Ø

#### <u>p-value</u>

 After calculating a test statistic we convert this to a p-value by comparing its value to distribution of test statistic's under the null hypothesis

• Measure of how likely the test statistic value is under the null hypothesis p-value  $\leq \alpha \Rightarrow$  Reject H<sub>0</sub> at level  $\alpha$ p-value >  $\alpha \Rightarrow$  Do not reject H<sub>0</sub> at level  $\alpha$ 

### Independent t-test

#### 1. Go to Analyze.

#### 2. Choose Compare Means.

<u>A</u> nalyze	<u>G</u> raphs	Utilities	Ac	d- <u>o</u> ns	<u>W</u> indow	Help	
Repo	orts criptive Stat	istics	•		Ø	•	5
Com Gene Gene Mixe <u>C</u> orro <u>R</u> egr	p <mark>are Means</mark> eral Linear I erali <u>z</u> ed Lin d Models elate ession	Model ear Model	×	Me: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : On: <u>Me</u> : <u>Me</u> <u>Me</u> : <u>Me</u> : <u></u>	ans e- <u>S</u> ample T <mark>ependen<u>t</u>-S</mark> red-Sample e-Way ANC	Test Samples T T s T Test DVA	est
L <u>o</u> gli Clas: <u>D</u> ime	near si <u>f</u> y nsion Redu	iction	۲ ۲ ۲				
Sc <u>a</u> k <u>N</u> on¢ Fore	e parametric 1 casting	ſests	F F F		3. Cho Indepe Sample	ose Indent es t Tes	t.
<u>S</u> urv Multij <u>Q</u> ual	ivai ple Respon ity Control Curve	se	Р 				

### t-test

Choose Dependent
 Independent Variables.



	Independent V	ariable						
Descriptives &	Group Statistics							
Analysis		Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean		
	Mid term exam marks	Male	167	13.0251	1.33126	.10302		
		Female	126	13.0024	1.18156	.10526		

#### **Dependent Variable**



### What is a *p*-value?

- 'p' stands for probability
  - Tail area probability based on the observed effect
  - Calculated as the probability of an effect as large as or larger than the observed effect (more extreme in the tails of the distribution), assuming null hypothesis is true
- Measures the strength of the evidence against the null hypothesis
  - Smaller p- values indicate stronger evidence against the null hypothesis

#### Stating the Conclusions of our Results

- When the *p*-value is small, we reject the null hypothesis or, equivalently, we accept the alternative hypothesis.
  - "Small" is defined as a *p*-value  $\leq \alpha$ , where  $\alpha =$ acceptable false (+) rate (usually 0.05).
- When the *p*-value is not small, we conclude that we cannot reject the null hypothesis or, equivalently, there is not enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis.
  - "Not small" is defined as a *p*-value > α, where α = acceptable false (+) rate (usually 0.05).

#### STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT AND NOT STATISTICALLY SINGIFICANT

• <u>Statistically</u> <u>significant</u> Reject Ho

> Sample value not compatible with Ho

Sampling variation is an unlikely explanation of discrepancy between Ho and sample value  <u>Not statistically</u> <u>significant</u>
 Do not reject Ho

Sample value compatible with Ho

Sampling variation is an likely explanation of discrepancy between Ho and sample value

### What is a *p*-value?

- p ≤0.05 is an arbitrary cut-point
  - Does it make sense to adopt a therapeutic agent because p-value obtained in a RCT was 0.049, and at the same time ignore results of another therapeutic agent because p-value was 0.051?
- Hence important to report the exact p-value and not ≤ 0.05 or >0.05



	Number dead / ra	ndomized						
Trial	Intravenous nitrate	Control	Risk Ratio	95% C.I. P value				
Chiche	3/50	8/45	0.33	(0.09,1.13) 0.08				
Some evidence	ce against the nu	II hypothes	is					
Flaherty	11/56	11/48	0.83	(0.33,2.12) 0.70				
Very weak evi finding	dence against th	e null hypo	thesisver	y likely a chance				
Lis	5/64	10/76	0.56	(0.19,1.65) 0.29				
Jugdutt	24/154	44/156	0.48	(0.28, 0.82) 0.007				
Very strong evidence against the null hypothesisvery unlikely to be a chance finding 32								

### Interpreting *P* values If the null hypothesis were true...

	Number dead / ran	domized						
Trial	Intravenous nitrate	Control	Risk Ratio	95% C.I.	P value			
Chiche	3/50	8/45	0.33	(0.09,1.13)	0.08			
8 out of 100 extreme just by	such trials would y chance	I show a ris	sk reduction	of 67% or m	ore			
Flaherty	11/56	11/48	0.83	(0.33,2.12)	0.70			
70 out of 100 such trials would show a risk reduction of 17% or more extreme just by chancevery likely a chance finding								
Lis	5/64	10/76	0.56	(0.19,1.65)	0.29			
Jugdutt	24/154	44/156	0.48	(0.28, 0.82)	0.007			
Very unlikely to	be a chance find	ding			2			

### Interpreting *P* values

Trial	Intravenous nitrate	Control	Risk ratio	95% confidence interval	P value
Chiche	3/50	8/45	0.33	(0.09, 1.13)	0.08
Bussman	4/31	12/29	0.24	(0.08, 0.74)	0.01
Flaherty	11/56	11/48	0.83	(0.33, 2.12)	0.7
Jaffe	4/57	2/57	2.04	(0.39, 10.71)	0.4
Lis	5/64	10/77	0.56	(0.19, 1.65)	0.29
Jugdutt	12/77	44/157	0.48	(0.28, 0.82)	0.007

# Size of the p-value is related to the sample size

 Lis and Jugdutt trials are similar in effect (~ 50% reduction in risk)...but Jugdutt trial has a large sample size

### Interpreting *P* values

	Trial	Intravenous nitrate	Control	Risk ratio	95% confidence interval	P value
	Chiche	3/50	8/45	0.33	(0.09, 1.13)	0.08
$\langle$	Bussman	4/31	12/29	0.24	(0.08, 0.74)	0.01
	Flaherty	11/56	11/48	0.83	(0.33, 2.12)	0.7
	Jaffe	4/57	2/57	2.04	(0.39, 10.71)	0.4
	Lis	5/64	10/77	0.56	(0.19, 1.65)	0.29
	Jugdutt	12/77	44/157	0.48	(0.28, 0.82)	0.007

 Size of the p-value is related to the effect size or the observed association or difference

 Chiche and Flaherty trials approximately same size, but observed difference greater in the Chiche trial

### P values

- P values give no indication about the clinical importance of the observed association
- A very large study may result in very small pvalue based on a small difference of effect that may not be important when translated into clinical practice
- Therefore, important to look at the effect size and confidence intervals...

Example: If a new antihypertensive therapy reduced the SBP by IMMHg as compared to standard therapy we are not interested in swapping to the new therapy.

- --- However, if the decrease was as large as 10 mmHg, then you would be interested in the new therapy.
- --- Thus, it is important to not only consider whether the difference is statistically significant by the possible magnitude of the difference should also be considered.

#### **Clinical importance vs. statistical significance**



p = 0.0023 Statistical



# Reaction of investigator to results of a statistical significance test

#### **Statistical significance**

		Not significant	Significant
Practical importance of observed effect	Not important		Annoyed 😐
	Important	Very sad 🗉 🔀	Elated 😌