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## Learning Objectives:

- (1) Able to understand the factors to apply for the choice of statistical tests in analyzing the data .
- (2) Able to apply appropriately Z-test, Chi-square test, Fisher's exact test \& Macnemar's Chi-square test.
- (3) Able to interpret the findings of the analysis using these four tests.


## Types of Categorical Data



Nominal Categories
Ordinal Categories

## Types of Analysis for Categorical Data




## Choosing the appropriate Statistical test

Based on the three aspects of the data - Types of variables - Number of groups being compared \& -Sample size

## Statistical Tests

## Z-test:

Study variable: Qualitative (Categorical)
Outcome variable: Qualitative(Categorical)
Comparison:
(i)sample proportion with population proportion;
(ii)two sample proportions

Sample size: larger in each group(>30)

Test for sample proportion with population proportion Problem

In an Otological examination of school children, out of 146 children examined 21 were found to have some type of Otological abnormalities. Does it confirm with the statement that $20 \%$ of the school children have otological abnormalities?
a. Question to be answered:

Is the sample taken from a population of children with $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Otological abnormality
b. Null hypothesis : The sample has come from a population with $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Otological abnormal children

Test for sample prop. with population prop.

## Test statistics

$z=\frac{|p-P|}{\sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}}=\frac{\mid 144-2 q}{\sqrt{\frac{144 * 856}{146}}}=1.6 C, \begin{aligned} & \text { P- Population. Prop. } \\ & \text { p- sample prop. } \\ & \text { n- number of samples }\end{aligned}$
d.Comparison with theoritical value

$$
Z \sim N(0,1) ; \quad Z_{0.05}=1.96
$$

The prob. of observing a value equal to or greater than 1.69 by chance is more than $5 \%$. We therefore do not reject the Null Hypothesis
e. Inference

There is a evidence to show that the sample is taken from a population of children with 20\% abnormalities

## Comparison of two sample proportions

## Problem

In a community survey, among 246 town school children, 36 were found with conductive hearing loss and among 349 village school children 61 were found with conductive hearing loss. Does this data, present any evidence that conductive hearing loss is as common among town children as among village children?

## Comparison of two sample proportions

a. Question to be answered:

Is there any difference in the proportion of hearing loss between children living in town and village?

| Given data | sample 1 | sample 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| size | 246 | 342 |
| hearing loss | 36 | 61 |
| \% hearing loss | $14.6 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ |

b. Null Hypothesis

There is no difference between the proportions of conductive hearing loss cases among the town children and among the village children

## Comparison of two sample proportions

## c. Test statistics

$$
z=\frac{|p 1-p 2|}{\sqrt{\frac{p 1 q 1}{n 1}+\frac{p 2 q^{2}}{n 2}}} \quad \mathbf{q}=\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{p}
$$

p1, p2 are sample proportions, n1,n2 are subjects in sample $1 \& 2$

$$
=\frac{|146-17.5|}{\sqrt{\frac{144 * 856}{246}+\frac{17.5 * 825}{342}}}=1.81
$$

## Comparison of two sample proportions

Comparison with theoretical value

$$
Z \sim N(0,1) ; \quad Z_{0.05}=1.96
$$

The prob. of observing a value equal to or greater than 1.81 by chance is more than $5 \%$. We therefore do not reject the Null Hypothesis
e. Inference

There is no evidence to show that the two sample proportions are statistically significantly different. That is, there is no statistically significant difference in the proportion of hearing loss between village and town, school children.

## Statistical test (cont.)

## reii-square test:

Study variable: Qualitative(Categorical )
Outcome variable: Qualitative(Categorical)
Comparison: two or more proportions
Sample size: >30
Expected frequency:>5

## Chi-square test

## Purpose

To find out whether the association between two categorical variables are statistically significant

Null Hypothesis
There is no association between two variables


1. The summation is over all cells of the contingency table consisting of r rows and c columns
2. $O$ is the observed frequency
3. $\hat{E}$ is the expected frequency

$$
\hat{E} \equiv \frac{\binom{\text { total of row in }}{\text { which the cell lies }} \cdot\binom{\text { total of column in }}{\text { which the cell lies }}}{\text { (total of all cells) }}
$$

reject $H_{0}$ if $\chi^{2}>\chi^{2}$., ,df

$$
\chi^{2}=\Sigma \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

where $\mathrm{df}=(r-1)(c-1)$
4. The degrees of freedom are $d f=(r-1)(c-1)$

## Requirements

- Prior to using the chi square test, there are certain requirements that must be met.
- The data must be in the form of frequencies counted in each of a set of categories. Percentages cannot be used.
- The total number observed must be exceed 20.


## Requirements

- The expected frequency under the $\mathbf{H}_{0}$ hypothesis in any one fraction must not normally be less than 5.
- All the observations must be independent of each other. In other words, one observation must not have an influence upon another observation.


## APPLICATION OF CHI-SQUARE TEST

- TESTING INDEPENDCNE (or ASSOCATION)
- TESTING FOR HOMOGENEITY
- TESTING OF GOODNESS-OF-FIT


## Chi-square test

Objective : Smoking is a risk factor for MI Null Hypothesis: Smoking does not cause MI

|  | D (MI) | No D( No MI) | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Smokers | 29 | 21 | 50 |
| Non-smokers | 16 | 34 | 50 |
| Total | 45 | 55 | 100 |

## Chi-Square test

MI
Non-MI


## Chi-square test

MI
Non-MI


## Chi-square test

MI
Non-MI


## Chi-square test

MI
No MI


## Chi-Square

## Degrees of Freedom

$$
\begin{aligned}
d f & =(r-1)(\mathrm{c}-1) \\
& =(2-1)(2-1)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Critical Value (Table A.6) $=3.84$
$X^{2}=6.84$
Calculated value(6.84) is greater than critical (table) value (3.84) at 0.05 level with 1 d.f.f

Hence we reject our Ho and conclude that there is highly statistically significant association between smoking and MI.

## Association between Diabetes and Heart Disease?

## Background:

Contradictory opinions:

- 1. A diabetic's risk of dying after a first heart attack is the same as that of someone without diabetes. There is no association between diabetes and heart disease.

VS.

- 2. Diabetes takes a heavy toll on the body and diabetes patients often suffer heart attacks and strokes or die from cardiovascular complications at a much younger age.
- So we use hypothesis test based on the latest data to see what's the right conclusion.
- There are a total of 5167 patients, among which 1131 patients are nondiabetics and 4036 are diabetics. Among the non-diabetic patients, $42 \%$ of them had their blood pressure properly controlled (therefore it's 475 of 1131). While among the diabetic patients only 20\% of them had the blood pressure controlled (therefore it's 807 of 4036).


## Association between Diabetes and Heart Disease?

## Data

|  | Controlled | Uncontrolled | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-diabetes | 475 | 656 | 1131 |
| Diabetes | 807 | 3229 | 4036 |
| Total | 1282 | 3885 | 5167 |

## Association between Diabetes and Heart Disease?

Data:
Diabetes: $1=$ Not have diabetes, 2=Have Diabetes
Control: 1=Controlled, 2=Uncontrolled
DIABETES * CONTROL Crosstabulation
Count

|  |  | CONTROL |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 1.00 | 2.00 | Total |
|  | DIABETE 1.00 | 475 | 656 | 1131 |
|  | 2.00 | 807 | 3229 | 4036 |
| Total | 1282 | 3885 | 5167 |  |

## Association between Diabetes and Heart Disease?

DIABETES * CONTROL Crosstabulation

|  |  | CONTROL |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1.00 | 2.00 | Total |  |
| DIABETE 1.00 | Count | 475 | 656 | 1131 |
|  | \% within DIABE | $42.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | \% within CONTH | $37.1 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
|  | \% of Total | $9.2 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
|  | Count | 807 | 3229 | 4036 |
|  | \% within DIABE | $20.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | \% within CONTH | $62.9 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ |
|  | \% of Total | $15.6 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 1282 | 3885 | 5167 |
|  | \% within DIABE | $24.8 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | \% within CONTH | $10.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | \% of Total | $24.8 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Association between Diabetes and Heart Disease?

Hypothesis test:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no association between diabetes and heart disease. (or) Diabetes and heart disease are independent.

VS

$H_{A}$ : There is an association between diabetes and heart disease. (or) Diabetes and heart disease are dependent.
--- Assume a significance level of 0.05

## Association between Diabetes and Heart Disease?

## SPSS Output

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asymp. Sig. } \\ & (2 \text {-sided) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exact Sig } \\ & \text { (2-sided) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exact Sig. } \\ & (1 \text {-sided) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Squ | 229.2680 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |
| Continuity Corre | 228.091 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 212.149 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact 7 |  |  |  | . 000 | . 000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 229.224 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |
| N of Valid Case | 5167 |  |  |  |  |

a.Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells (. $0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum e 280.62.

## Association between Diabetes and Heart

 Disease?---The computer gives us a Chi-Square Statistic of 229.268
---The computer gives us a p-value of .000 (<0.0001)
--- Because our $p$-value is less than alpha, we would reject the null hypothesis.
--- There is sufficient evidence to conclude that there is an association between diabetes and heart disease.

## Chi- square test

## Find out whether the gender is equally

 distributed among each age group|  | Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gender | $<30$ | $30-45$ | $>45$ | Total |
| Male | $60(60)$ | $20(30)$ | $40(30)$ | 120 |
| Female | $40(40)$ | $30(20)$ | $10(20)$ | 80 |
| Total | 100 | 50 | 50 | 200 |

## Test for Homogeneity (Similarity)

To test similarity between frequency distribution or group. It is used in assessing the similarity between nonresponders and responders in any survey

| Age (yrs) | Responders | Non-responders | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20$ | $76(82)$ | $20(14)$ | 96 |
| $20-29$ | $288(289)$ | $50(49)$ | 338 |
| $30-39$ | $312(310)$ | $51(53)$ | 363 |
| $40-49$ | $187(185)$ | $30(32)$ | 217 |
| $>50$ | $77(73)$ | $9(13)$ | 86 |
| Total | 940 | 160 | 1100 |

## Fisher's exact test:

Study variable: Qualitative(Categorical)
Outcome variable: Qualitative(Categorical)
Comparison: two proportions
Sample size: < 30

## Example

The following data relate to suicidal feelings in samples of psychotic and neurotic patients:

|  | Psychotics | Neurotics | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suicidal feelings | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| No suicidal feelings | 18 | 14 | 32 |
| Total | 20 | 20 | 40 |

## Example

The following data compare malocclusion of teeth with method of feeding infants.

|  | Normal teeth | Malocclusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Breast fed | 4 | 16 |
| Bottle fed | 1 | 21 |

## Fisher's Exact Test:

The method of Yates's correction was useful when manual calculations were done. Now different types of statistical packages are available. Therefore, it is better to use Fisher's exact test rather than Yates's correction as it gives exact

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { result. } \\
& \text { Fisher' } s \text { Exact Test }=\frac{R_{1}!R_{2}!C_{1}!C_{2}!}{n!a!b!c!d!}
\end{aligned}
$$

What to do when we have a paired samples and both the exposure and outcome variables are qualitative variables (Binary).

## Macnemar's test: (for paired samples)

Study variable: Qualitative (categorical)
Outcome variable: Qualitative(categorical)
Comparison: two proportions
Sample size: Any

## Problem

A researcher has done a matched casecontrol study of endometrial cancer (cases) and exposure to conjugated estrogens (exposed).

- In the study cases were individually matched 1:1 to a non-cancer hospitalbased control, based on age, race, date of admission, and hospital.


## McNemar's test

## Situation:

- Two paired binary variables that form a particular type of $2 \times 2$ table
- e.g. matched case-control study or cross-over trial


## Data

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Cases | Controls | Total |
| Exposed | 55 | 19 | 74 |
| Not exposed | 128 | 164 | 292 |
| Total | 183 | 183 | 366 |

o can't use a chi-squared test - observations dre not independent - they're paired.
owe must present the $2 \times 2$ table differently
o each cell should contain a count of the number of pairs with certain criteria, with the columns and rows respectively referring to each of the subjects in the matched pair
o the information in the standard $2 \times 2$ table used for unmatched studies is insufficient because it doesn't say who is in which pair - ignoring the matching

## Data

|  | Controls |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Cases | Exposed | Not exposed | Total |
| Exposed | 12 | 43 | 55 |
| Not exposed | 7 | 121 | 128 |
| Total | 19 | 164 | 183 |

We construct a matched $2 \times 2$ table:

|  | Controls |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Cases | Exposed | Nbt exposed | Total |
| Exposed | $e$ | $f$ | e+t |
| Nbt exposed | $g$ | $h$ | $g+r$ |
| Total | $e+g$ | $f+h$ | $r$ |

## Formula

The odds ratio is: f/g
The test is:

$$
X^{2}=\frac{(f-g-1)^{2}}{f+g}
$$

Compare this to the $\chi^{2}$ distribution on 1 df

$$
X^{2}=\frac{(43-7-1)^{2}}{43+7}=\frac{1225}{50}=245
$$

$P<0.001$, Odds Ratio $=43 / 7=6.1$
$p_{1}-p_{2}=(55 / 183)-(19 / 183)=0.197 \quad(20 \%)$ s.e. $\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=0.036$

$95 \%$ CI: 0.12 to 0.27 (or $12 \%$ to $27 \%$ )

Degrees of Freedom

$$
\begin{aligned}
d f & =(r-1)(c-1) \\
& =(2-1)(2-1)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- Critical Value (Table A.6) $=3.84$
- $X^{2}=25.92$
- Calculated value(25.92) is greater than critical (table) value (3.84) at 0.05 level with 1 d.f.f
- Hence we reject our Ho and conclude that there is highly statistically significant association between Endometrial cancer and Estrogens.


## Two-tailed critical ratios of $\chi^{2}$

| Degrees of freedom df | .10 | . 05 | . 02 | . 01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.706 | 3.841 | 5.412 | 6.635 |
| 2 | 4.605 | 5.991 | 7.824 | 9.210 |
| 3 | 6.251 | 7.815 | 9.837 | 11.341 |
| 4 | 7.779 | 9.488 | 11.668 | 13.277 |
| 5 | 9.236 | 11.070 | 13.388 | 15.086 |
| 6 | 10.645 | 12.592 | 15.033 | 16.812 |
| 7 | 12.017 | 14.067 | 16.622 | 18.475 |
| 8 | 13.362 | 15.507 | 18.168 | 20.090 |
| 9 | 14.684 | 16.919 | 19.679 | 21.666 |
| 10 | 15.987 | 18.307 | 21.161 | 23.209 |
| 11 | 17.275 | 19.675 | 22.618 | 24.725 |
| 12 | 18.549 | 21.026 | 24.054 | 26.217 |
| 13 | 19.812 | 22.362 | 25.472 | 27.688 |
| 14 | 21.064 | 23.685 | 26.873 | 29.141 |
| 16 | าว วก7 | 74 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | าง oz | on c то |

## Stata Output



## Controls Exposed $\quad$ Unexposed $\quad$ Total

| Exposed | 12 | 43 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unexposed | 7 | 121 | 128 |
| Total | 19 | 164 | 183 |

McNemar's chi2(1) $=\quad 25.92 \quad$ Prob $>$ chi2 $=0.0000$ Exact McNemar significance-probability $=0.0000$ Proportion with factor


## In Conclusion!

When both the study variables and outcome variables are categorical (Qualitative):
Apply
(i) Z-test (for one sample and two samples)
(i) Chi square test( for two and more samples)
(ii) Fisher's exact test (only two samples when sample size is small)
(iii) Mac nemar's test ( for paired samples)

