Screening Tutorial

Dr. Noura Abouammoh/ Dr. Taha Alhazmi Community Medicine <u>nabouammoh@ksu.edu.sa</u> Tahazmi@ksu.edu.sa

Components of Validity

TABLE 3–A

Screening test result by diagnosis

Screening	Diag	nosis	Total	
test results	Diseased	Not diseased		
Positive	a (True-positive)	b (False-positive)	a+b	
Negative	c (False-negative)	d (True-negative)	c + d	
Total	a + c	b + d	a+b+c+d	

Components of Validity

- Sensitivity = a/ (a+c) x 100
- Specificity = d/ (b+d) x100
- predictive value of a positive test=a/(a+b)x100
- predictive value of a negative test=d/(c+d)x100
- Percentage of false-negative=c/(a+c)x100
- Percentage of false-positive=b/(b+d)x100

Sensitivity

- The ability of the test to identify correctly all those who have the disease, that is "true-positive".
- 90% sensitivity means that 90% of the diseased people screened by the test will give a "true-positive" result and the remaining 10% a "false-negative" result.



- The ability of a test to identify correctly those who do not have the disease, that is "true-negatives"
- 90% specificity means 90% of non-diseased persons will give "truenegative" result, 10% of non-diseased people screened by the test will be wrongly classified as "diseased" when they are not "falsepositive".

Predictive accuracy

- Reflects the diagnostic power of a test.
- Depends upon sensitivity, specify and disease prevalence
- The **probability** that a patient with a positive test result has, in fact, the disease in question.
- The more prevalent is a disease in a given population, the more accurate will be the predictive value of a positive screening test.

Example

EEG results	Brain	Brain tumour		
	Present	Absent		
Positive	36	54,000		
Negative	4	306,000		
	40	360,000		

Diagnosis of brain tumours by computer assisted axial tomography			
CAT results	Brain tumour		
	Present	Absent	
Positive	39	18,000	
Negative	1	342,000	
	40	360,000	

Sensitivity = $39/40 \times 100 = 97.5$ per cent Specificity = $342,000/360,000 \times 100 = 95$ per cent

Predictive value of a positive gram-stained cervical smear test (with constant sensitivity of 50% and specificity of 90%) at three levels of prevalence

	Preva	alence 59	6		Preval	ence 15%		Prev	alence 25	%
	С	ulture			С	ulture			Culture	
	. +	_	Total		+	-	Total	+	-	Total
Smear	+ 25	95	120	Smear	+ 75	85	160	Smear + 125	75	200
	- 25	855	880		- 75	765	840	- 125	675	800
Total	50	950	1000	Total	150	850	1000	Total 250	750	1000
Positive predictive value	$\frac{25}{120}$	$\times \frac{100}{1} =$	21%	Positive predicti value	ve <u>75</u>	$\times \frac{100}{1} = 4$	17%	Positive predictive $\frac{125}{200}$ value	$\times \frac{100}{1} = 6$	53%



- In a survey, 100 persons were positive to the reference test for disease A and 900 were negative. The screening test identified 200 persons to be positive. Of these 80 were positive to the reference test.
- 1. Calculate sensitivity, specificity, predictive value positive and predictive value negative for screening test.
- 2. Calculate percentage of false-positive and false-negative.

In a survey, 100 persons were positive to the **reference** test for disease A and 900 were negative. The **screening** test identified 200 persons to be positive. Of these 80 were positive to the reference test.

		Reference test		
		+ve	-ve	Total
Screening test	+ve	80	120	200
	-ve	20	780	800
	Total	100	900	1000

- Sensitivity = a/ (a+c) x 100 = 80/100 X 100 = 80%
- Specificity = d/ (b+d) x100 =780/900 X 100 = 86.7%

predictive value of a positive test=a/(a+b)x100

= 80/200 X100 = 40%

• predictive value of a negative test=d/(c+d)x100

= 780/800 X 100 = 97.5%

Percentage of false-negative=c/(a+c)x100
= 20/100 X 100 = 20%

Percentage of false-positive=b/(b+d)x100
= 120/900 X 100 = 13.3%

Exercise 2:

- A new non invasive test has been developed to diagnose breast cancer. Of 1000 patients; 50% were diagnosed positive. Of those who tested positive, a Biopsy test yielded 475 with positive results. Of those who tested negative; 50 patients were actually Cancer breast positive when tested against the Biopsy.
- 1. Calculate sensitivity, specificity, predictive value positive and predictive value negative for screening test.
- 2. Calculate percentage of false-positive and false-negative.

Create the 2x2 table

		diagnostic test		
				Total
Screening test				
	Total			

Sensitivity=	NPV=
Specificity=	PF-ve=
PPV=	PF+ve=



In a survey, 100 persons were positive to the **reference** test for disease A and 900 were negative. The **screening** test identified 200 persons to be positive. Of these 80 were positive to the reference test.

- 1. Calculate sensitivity, specificity, predictive value positive and predictive value negative for screening test.
- 2. Calculate percentage of false-positive and false-negative

		diagnostic test		
				Total
Screening test				
	Total			

Sensitivity=	NPV=
Specificity=	PF-ve=
PPV=	PF+ve=



• Match the following sentences with the appropriate term:

(sensitivity, specificity, PP+ve, PP-ve)

- 1. The ability of a test to correctly identify those who have a disease.
- 2. The proportion of those without the disease correctly identified as negative by screening test.
- 3. Ability of the test to detect true negative cases.
- 4. Probability of disease in patients with positive test result.
- 5. Probability of not having the disease in a subject with negative test result.

Exercise 5:

300 known diabetics (positive on the glucose tolerance test) and 250 normal volunteers (negative on the glucose tolerance test) are given finger prick tests, the results are:

		Glucose tolerance test					
		+	-	Total			
Finger Prick	+	282	20	302			
	-	18	230	248			
	Total	300	250				

1- Sensitivity of the test is:

- a) 20%
- b) 90%
- c) 94%
- d) 98%

2- Specificity of the test is

- a) 90%
- b) 92%
- c) 94%
 - d) 98%

3- The capacity of a test or procedure to screen as "negative" those NOT having a specific disease is

- a) sensitivity
- b) specificity
- c) positive predictive value
- d) negative predictive value